

REMARKS/ARGUMENT

Applicants herein submit a supplemental response to the September 23, 2004 Office Action. Applicants had previously submitted a response dated December 22, 2004. In reviewing the response, Applicants noted two errors.

(1) Claims 1 and 13 had been amended to "wherein the protein or peptide is operably connected to the promoter." Applicants realize on rereading the amendment that it is confusing and that the more correct amendment would be wherein "the DNA molecule encoding" the protein or peptide is operably connected to the promoter. Applicants have made this clarification in claims 1 and 13.

(2) Applicants submitted a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate a double-patenting rejection and incorrectly listed the owner of the technology as "Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation." The correct owner is actually "WiSys Technology Foundation, Inc." Applicants have submitted a substitute Terminal Disclaimer.


Applicants believe that no fees are necessary to enter this amendment. If a fee is necessary, please charge Deposit Account 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Lynne Perille-Collins, et al.

January 3, 2005

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Jean C. Baker  
Reg. No. 35,433  
Quarles & Brady LLP  
411 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497  
(414) 277-5709